## **TOWN HALL PROJECT RISK REGISTER**

File Ref: Town Hall Project

Risk Register

**Version No:** 

Name of Doc:

File path:

V12

T:\Town Hall Project/townhallprojectriskregister .doc

Created by: **Date Created:** 

Monitored by:

Mike Pidgeon

02/03/2017

Project Board Date Printed: 17/07/2018 No of Pages

1 of 1

Likelihood Impact

5 = Very High 5 = Catastrophic 4 = High 4 = Critical 3 = Significant 3 = Moderate 2 = Low 2 = Marginal 1 = Very Low 1 = Negligible

Risk Score = Likelihood x Impact

All risks with a score of 10 or more are considered

serious

Risk ID	Risk Description	Original R	isk		Target Risk			Current Risk	(		Mitigating Actions	Review Date/Comments
1.	Listing of existing Town Hall building	2	5	10	1	1	1	1	1	1	COI granted and risk now only that work not completed within 5 years	All risks are considered at Fortnightly Officer Board meeting  RISK CHANGED FROM 1 5 5
2a	Errors in detailed design specification or contract documentation	2	4	8	1	4	4	2	4	8	<ul> <li>Consultant Architects         <ul> <li>appointed as our client to</li> <li>oversee design brief</li> </ul> </li> <li>Internal/External procurement         <ul> <li>and legal advice taken on all</li> <li>aspects of the contractual</li> <li>arrangements</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	

Risk ID	Risk Description	Original R	isk		Target Risk			Current Risk			Mitigating Actions	Review Date/Comments
2b	Delays in CBC making key decisions prevents scheme proceeding	2	4	8	1	4	4	2	4	8	<ul> <li>Clear decision making leads identified at member and officer level</li> <li>Robust project management and Governance structure established</li> <li>Project plan identifies key decision gateways and lead in times</li> <li>Delegated authorisation procedure agreed by Cabinet</li> <li>Continued working with consultants to ensure work to timeline.</li> </ul>	
2c	Final detailed negotiations failed	2	4	8	1	2	2	2	4	8	<ul> <li>Regular contact at senior level within both organisations with a clear understanding of both parties' main objectives.</li> </ul>	
3a	Project exceeds budget	2	4	8	1	4	4	3	4	12	<ul> <li>Budget agreed and clearly communicated in Final design brief</li> <li>Regular Project Board and Steering Group meetings to review and keep cost projections up to date</li> <li>Identify robust contingencies sums</li> <li>Identify value engineering opportunities</li> <li>Minimise delays/ad-hoc changes</li> <li>Likelihood remains 3 will review after stage 3 cost plan</li> </ul>	Historic     England     review has     caused delays     in tendering     process     Inflation has     increased     likely build     costs

Risk ID	Risk Description	Original R	isk		Target Risk			Current Ris	k		Mitigating Actions Revie	ew /Comments
3b	Cost of Project borrowing Project affected by interest rate fluctuations	2	4	8	1	4	4	2	4	8	Projections are currently estimating interest rates higher than the current market, indications are that interest rates may increase in third quarter of 2018.	
4a	Loss of support for project (Members)	2	3	6	1	2	2	2	3	6	<ul> <li>Robust communication and stakeholder consultation plan</li> <li>Maintain involvement throughout and set out clear responses/justification to consultation responses in order to manage expectations</li> <li>Regular consultation with Members to ensure scheme as finally proposed has cross-party support.</li> </ul>	
4b	Loss of support for project (Staff)	2	2	4	1	2	2	2	2	4	<ul> <li>As above (4a) but tailored approach</li> <li>Delivered some small scale additional benefits for staff during decant period</li> </ul>	
4c	Loss of support for project (public)	2	2	4	1	2	2	2	2	4	<ul> <li>As above (4a) but tailored approach</li> <li>Regular press releases</li> <li>More likely increase around demolition</li> </ul>	
5.	CBC lacking the necessary experience and/or skills to complete the development	2	3	6	1	2	2	2	3	6	<ul> <li>Consultant Architect and other consultants appointed to supplement in-house resources and expertise.</li> <li>Appointment of new DCeX, handover given and greater involvement from CeX</li> </ul>	

Risk ID	Risk Description	Original R	isk		Target Risk			Current Risk	(		Mitigating Actions	Review Date/Comments
6a	Planning permission: application requires amending which impacts on financial viability of the scheme.	2	4	8	1	1	1	1	1	1	Pre app meetings held between Westrock and planning officers	RISK CHANGED FROM 2 3 6
6b	Impact on scheme re: changes in planning regulations in respect of starter homes	2	4	8	1	2	2	2	3	6	Regular review of potential changes	
7.	Westrock unable to obtain development funding for project Phase 2 (market housing)	2	4	8	1	2	2	2	4	8	<ul> <li>Project agreement will stipulate time period, with CBC having the option to develop.</li> </ul>	
8a	Members facilities do not meet their requirements	2	3	6	1	2	2	2	3	6	<ul> <li>Regular consultation with Members and Member Working Group</li> <li>Member sign-off to this element of the final design brief of these elements</li> </ul>	
8b	Staff facilities do not meet their requirements	2	3	6	1	2	2	2	3	6	<ul> <li>Regular consultation with staff via a number of formats</li> <li>Close liaison with staff groups during stage 4 design stage</li> <li>Desk ratio demonstrates meeting needs and will work with staff to co-ordinate remaining elements.</li> <li>Will be delivering office etiquette guidelines and trails for new ways of working</li> </ul>	

Risk ID	Risk Description	Original R	lisk		Target Risk			Current Ris	sk		Mitigating Actions Review Date/Comments
8c	Customer facilities do not meet their requirements	2	3	6	1	2	2	2	3	6	<ul> <li>Crawley Homes Tenants Panel have been consulted.</li> <li>Will undertake a consultation with customers</li> <li>Will offer significant improvements to current arrangements.</li> </ul>
9.	District Heat Network not being progressed impacts detrimentally on overall scheme	2	2	4	1	2	2	3	3	9	-
10a	Commercial office space specification/design not attractive to potential occupiers	2	4	8	1	2	2	2	3	6	<ul> <li>Design set at required level to attract commercial occupiers</li> <li>Will ensure communal space and entrance area is attractive and floors will meet Grade A requirements.</li> <li>We are receiving ongoing feedback from commercial agents as part of the One Public Estate exercise</li> <li>Impact of demolition area and public square may deter occupiers in first few years.</li> </ul>

Risk ID	Risk Description	Original R	isk		Target Risk			Current Risk			Mitigating Actions	Review Date/Comments
10b	Business Rates for new Town Hall higher than estimated (cannot guarantee the valuation)	2	4	8	1	2	2	2	4	8	<ul> <li>Current projections based on business rates at existing rates and smaller Town Hall.</li> <li>Will work with valuation office when building works progress.</li> <li>Will minimise liability of vacant offices by delaying completion statements</li> </ul>	
11.	Values of offices/housing change significantly before the agreement is signed	2	3	6	1	2	2	2	3	6	Valuations obtained, these will be refreshed during the project	
12a	Affordable housing element of scheme not deliverable or RSL not interested in scheme	2	4	8	1	2	2	2	4	8	<ul> <li>CBC to fund top up payment</li> <li>Rental/shared ownership mix to reflect most attractive option</li> <li>To review before next Audit Committee to review progress on current levels of interest.</li> </ul>	
13	Phase 1 decant works delay construction programme	3	5	15	1	2	2	2	4	8	<ul> <li>Works/moves programme to allow sufficient contingency should programme slip</li> <li>Progress ahead of construction programme</li> <li>Staff move complete and waiting to commence service utilities work.</li> </ul>	
14.	Town Hall comms link relocation works delay construction programme	3	5	15	1	2	2	1	4	4	<ul> <li>Detailed works/moves programme agreed and signed off by Project Board/CMT</li> <li>Works/moves programmed to allow sufficient contingency should programme slip</li> </ul>	

Risk ID	Risk Description	Original F	Risk		Target Risk			Current Risk			Mitigating Actions Review Date/Com	ments
15.	Opposition from the public prior to construction	3	4	12	2	2	4	2	3	6	<ul> <li>Effective communication and publicity campaign</li> <li>Advantages of scheme clearly set out in the business case</li> </ul>	
16.	Opposition from the public during construction	3	2	6	2	2	4	3	2	6	<ul> <li>Establish clear communications plan for the construction period</li> <li>Ensure clear signage and access/egress provision to existing building for public</li> </ul>	
17.	Building as completed not to required quality/specification	2	4	8	1	2	2	2	3	6	<ul> <li>Establish robust project         management structure</li> <li>Maintain regular contact         between CBC/developers to         monitor construction against         design specification</li> <li>Regular design team meetings         held</li> <li>Appoint Clerk of Works to         oversee construction</li> </ul>	
18.	Health & Safety – ensure compliance during and after the construction period and for the future.	2	3	6	1	2	2	2	3	6	<ul> <li>Robust project management from construction contractor(s)</li> <li>Consultation programme to include all relevant authorities with regard to health &amp; safety matters</li> </ul>	
19.	Facilities specification including car park is not attractive to potential tenants	2	3	6	1	2	2	2	3	6	<ul> <li>Maintain regular dialogue with Westrock to ensure specification meets both their and CBC's requirements</li> <li>Will look to ensure meets requirements for safety and security as part of specification of works.</li> </ul>	